WHITE PAPER

The Orphan Matter Scale

A Civilizational Typology Based on Unmanaged Residue

A Kardashev-Style Classification of Civilizations by What They Refuse to Abandon

Olga Yanovskaya Filipova
olga.f.yanovskaya@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The Kardashev Scale classifies civilizations by the energy they command, a measure of power. This paper proposes a complementary measure: the Orphan Matter Scale (OMS), which classifies civilizations by the share of transformed matter they fail to reincorporate. The central thesis can be stated in a single line. Civilizational maturity is the capacity to prevent transformed matter from becoming unmanaged entropy. Kardashev measures the energy a civilization commands; the Orphan Matter Scale measures the disorder it refuses to export. A society that commands stellar-scale energy but leaves behind unmanaged residue at planetary, orbital, and eventually interplanetary scale is not advanced. It is merely capable of spreading abandonment further. The framework offers a definition of orphan matter, a heuristic ratio, a seven-stage typology, a two-axis matrix combining power and return, and a set of design principles that distinguish technological capability from civilizational maturity.

Contents

Executive Summary

The Kardashev Scale measures a civilization by the energy it commands. It is elegant and useful, but it conflates two separable properties of advanced societies. A civilization at any given Kardashev level may have closed its material loops, or it may produce vast quantities of residue that lack any pathway of return. Energy capacity alone cannot tell us which it is.

This paper proposes a complementary measure: the Orphan Matter Scale (OMS). Where Kardashev asks how much a civilization can use, the OMS asks how much a civilization can return. The central concept is orphan matter: any material output of civilization for which no designed pathway of reincorporation exists. Plastic in an ocean gyre, abandoned satellites in low orbit, e-waste flowing to unregulated dumps, persistent chemicals in groundwater. All are orphan matter, regardless of their volume, value, or visibility.

The framework has three components. First, a measurable ratio: the Orphan Matter Ratio (OMR), defined as the share of total transformed matter that becomes orphaned. Second, a seven-stage typology (OM-0 through OM-6) that classifies civilizations by orders-of-magnitude reductions in OMR, from the abandonment civilization to the cosmic circular civilization. Third, a two-axis matrix that combines Kardashev power with OMS return, distinguishing four civilizational types and identifying the most dangerous configuration: high power, low return.

The strongest implication is also the most uncomfortable. High-energy civilizations without return discipline are not more advanced. They are merely more capable of spreading abandonment further. A K-1 civilization that has not closed its material loops will, on becoming K-2, carry that pattern into orbit, onto moons, and across the inner solar system. The architecture that separates production from consequence does not stop at the atmosphere unless it is deliberately broken.

The OMS does not oppose technological advance. It distinguishes advance from expansion. The framework can also be read through the language of entropy. Orphan matter is matter whose order, location, and recoverability have escaped the systems of responsibility that produced it. It is entropy that civilization has chosen to export rather than manage. The most advanced civilization, in this reading, is not the one that uses the most energy. It is the one that leaves no orphan matter behind.

1 The Missing Axis

The Kardashev Scale's elegance is its singularity. One variable, energy, scaled by orders of magnitude, captures something real about civilizational capability. Energy is universal, measurable, and produces detectable signatures across cosmic distances. The scale's reach explains its endurance.

But this elegance has a cost. By collapsing civilizational development to a single dimension, the scale conflates two properties that can vary independently. A civilization at K-1 might command terawatts of solar energy and have closed its material loops, recovered every artificial molecule, and removed all uncontrolled debris from its orbital environment. Or it might command exactly the same energy while burning fossil fuels, dumping plastic into oceans, and orphaning satellites in low Earth orbit. The Kardashev Scale cannot distinguish them.

This is not a marginal omission. Energy capacity tells us what a civilization can do. It tells us nothing about what a civilization leaves behind. Two societies at the same K-level may differ as profoundly as a healthy organism differs from a metastatic one. Both metabolize, but only one returns what it takes.

The missing axis is the axis of return. A complete civilizational measurement requires a second variable: not the magnitude of throughput, but the share of throughput that civilization keeps inside responsibility. This paper develops that second variable into a formal scale.

2 Defining Orphan Matter

The framework begins with a precise definition.

Orphan matter is any material output of civilization for which no designed pathway of return, reuse, containment, transformation, or reintegration exists.

The defining property of orphan matter is not toxicity, value, location, or volume. It is abandonment: the absence of a system that takes responsibility for the material's afterlife.

This makes the category relational rather than intrinsic. A plastic bottle deposited in a recycling stream that actually melts and reforms it is not orphan matter. The same bottle in a landfill, an ocean gyre, or a desert dump is. A satellite designed for controlled deorbit is not orphan matter; an

abandoned one in a stable orbit is. A chemical sequestered in a closed industrial loop is not orphan matter; the same chemical released into groundwater is.

The same physical object can move into and out of the category depending on whether a system claims it. Orphan matter is matter that has fallen out of every system of responsibility, material without an owner of its consequences.

Canonical types

The category includes plastics without recovery infrastructure, mining tailings, persistent industrial chemicals, atmospheric pollutants, microplastics, marine debris, e-waste flows to informal dumps, abandoned infrastructure, nuclear residues without long-term containment, lunar and planetary contamination, and orbital debris. The list is not exhaustive, and that is the point. Orphan matter is defined by a structural relation, not by a fixed inventory.

3 From Linear to Metabolic: The Theoretical Foundation

Underlying the OMS is a simple axiom:

Waste is not matter without value. Waste is matter without return.

This distinction is non-trivial. In biological systems, the death of an organism does not produce waste in any meaningful sense. Leaves fall and become soil. Bodies decay and become nutrients. Carbon, water, nitrogen, and minerals circulate. Biological systems are not low-residue because they produce nothing. They are low-residue because what they produce is taken up by other systems. Three and a half billion years of evolutionary optimization have made biology metabolic almost everywhere it operates.

Industrial civilization broke this principle. It introduced an architecture with no biological precedent: extraction, production, consumption, disposal. Each step is decoupled from the next. Material is taken from one place, transformed in another, used in a third, and discarded in a fourth, with no system in place to bring it back.

This is the linear model: extraction → production → consumption → disposal. It is the operating logic of the waste-producing civilization. Its endpoint is always the landfill, the ocean gyre, or the orbital debris cloud.

The metabolic model is structurally different: extraction or recovery → transformation → use → repair → reuse → reintegration → regeneration. Each step is loop-closing. Outputs of one step are inputs to another. Nothing leaves the system without a designed pathway back in.

The transition from linear to metabolic is not merely technical. It is a transition in what a civilization considers itself responsible for. A primitive civilization asks where the waste can be put. A mature civilization asks why anything was designed to become waste in the first place. A cosmic civilization asks whether anything it creates can remain outside its responsibility, anywhere it can reach.

4 Orphan Matter as Unmanaged Entropy

Orphan matter is not only a problem of waste. More precisely, it is a problem of unmanaged entropy. The framework gains analytic depth when this connection is made explicit, because entropy here can be understood in three distinct but related senses, each of which clarifies a different aspect of the central concept.

Thermodynamic entropy

All material transformation increases entropy in the strict physical sense. Energy dissipates, matter scatters, reversibility decays. Even recycling consumes energy and incurs losses. No civilization, however disciplined, can achieve perfect material reversal. This is why OMR = 0 must be understood as an asymptotic ideal, not a thermodynamic prediction. The framework does not contest the second law. It defines its discipline within the limits the second law sets.

Informational entropy

The deeper analytic move is informational. When a product becomes orphan matter, the system loses information about it: where it is, what it is made of, who is responsible for it, how it can be recovered, whether it is toxic, and whether it can re-enter production. A bottle with a material passport, a known polymer composition, and a verified return pathway carries low informational entropy. The same bottle, fragmented into microplastics in an ocean gyre, carries high informational entropy. The matter still exists. What has been lost is the system's record of it.

This formulation gives the earlier definition of orphan matter, material output for which no designed pathway of return exists, its sharpest expression. Orphan matter is matter whose informational order has dissolved.

Institutional entropy

A third sense, less often named, is institutional or civilizational entropy. This is the loss of order in the systems of accountability that civilization builds around its material flows. A satellite with a controlled deorbit plan remains inside the civilizational order; the institution responsible for it persists. An abandoned satellite in orbit becomes institutional entropy. No actor controls it, but every actor may bear the consequences. The matter has fallen out of the order of responsibility.

Orphan matter is entropy with no institution assigned to reverse, contain, or metabolize it.

These three forms of entropy are connected. The thermodynamic version sets the floor, the unavoidable cost of any transformation. The informational version measures how much the system has chosen to lose track of. The institutional version measures how much the system has chosen to abandon to no one. A civilization advances by minimizing the second and third while accepting the first. The OMS is, at root, a measure of how much entropy a civilization has refused to export beyond its own systems of care.

5 Plantation Logic and the Architecture of Abandonment

Modern waste is not accidental. It is the predicted output of an architecture that long predates the industrial revolution: what can be called plantation logic.

The plantation was not just a labor system. It was an architecture of separation, organized around four structural features:

1. Production was separated from consequence. The planter consumed the yield; soil exhaustion happened elsewhere.
2. Capital was separated from damage. Returns concentrated in metropolitan centers; degradation localized to the colony.
3. Use-time was separated from durational responsibility. When the soil failed, the planter moved on.
4. Visible benefit was separated from invisible cost. Sugar arrived clean in London while the cane fields collapsed in Jamaica.

These are the same structural features as modern waste-producing industry. Only the scale and geography have changed. The smartphone arrives clean in San Francisco while the cobalt mine

collapses in Katanga. The fast-fashion shirt is consumed in Berlin while the textile dump grows in Atacama. The satellite operator collects revenue on Earth while debris accumulates in low orbit.

This is not a moral analogy. It is a structural claim. The pattern is the same: a system that produces value in one place by abandoning its consequences in another. Industrial civilization did not invent this pattern; it scaled it. The landfill, the e-waste dump, the polluted ocean, and the debris field in orbit are not failures of an otherwise sound system. They are the predicted output of plantation architecture extended to global, and now planetary, scale.

Orphan matter is the material signature of plantation logic.

A civilization advances when it breaks the chain between extraction and abandonment. Until then, every increase in capability is also an increase in the geographic and temporal range across which consequences can be displaced.

6 Measurement: The Orphan Matter Ratio

The first measurable unit is the Orphan Matter Ratio, or OMR. It expresses the share of total transformed matter that becomes orphaned.

$$OMR = M_o / M_t$$

Where M_o is orphan matter generated by the civilization and M_t is total matter transformed, consumed, processed, manufactured, extracted, or mobilized. An OMR of 1 means everything transformed is abandoned. An OMR of 0 means nothing is. Civilizational maturity rises as OMR falls.

The inverse is the Civilizational Return Index (CRI = 1 − OMR), useful as a positive metric. A CRI of 0.99 means a civilization returns 99 percent of what it transforms; a CRI of 0 means it returns nothing.

The weighted formula

Not all orphan matter has the same civilizational severity. One kilogram of compostable food residue is not equivalent to one kilogram of radioactive material. One discarded cotton shirt is not equivalent to a kilogram of persistent industrial fluorinated compounds. One inert rock fragment is not equivalent to a satellite fragment moving at orbital velocity.

The weighted form addresses this by attaching factors to each waste category:

$$OMW = \Sigma (M_i \cdot P_i \cdot T_i \cdot R_i \cdot S_i)$$

Where P_i is persistence (how long the material remains active or disruptive), T_i is toxicity (harm to life and ecosystems), R_i is recoverability penalty (difficulty of safe reintegration), and S_i is spatial risk (orbital, oceanic, and groundwater locations carry higher weights). The weighted formula prevents civilizations from improving their score by trading visible landfill mass for invisible toxicity or orbital debris.

A note on rigor

Both M_o and M_t are heuristic categories rather than directly observable quantities. Defining "total transformed matter" requires accounting choices: does it include atmospheric carbon? Soil moved by agriculture? Water in industrial cooling loops? Different choices yield different ratios. The OMR is therefore best understood as a comparative ranking tool, not a precise metric. Its value lies in distinguishing civilizational stages by orders of magnitude, not in resolving disagreements about specific numerical values.

7 The Orphan Matter Scale: OM-0 through OM-6

Like the Kardashev Scale, the OMS uses orders of magnitude. Each stage represents roughly a tenfold reduction in the share of transformed matter that becomes orphaned. The order-of-magnitude logic reflects how waste systems actually scale: incremental gains within a stage, phase transitions between stages.

Stage	Orphan Matter Ratio	Signature
OM-0	OMR > 0.5	The open dump. Abandonment civilization.
OM-1	0.1 < OMR ≤ 0.5	The managed landfill. Containment civilization.
OM-2	0.01 < OMR ≤ 0.1	The recycling plant. Recovery civilization.
OM-3	0.001 < OMR ≤ 0.01	The material passport. Circular-design civilization.
OM-4	0.0001 < OMR ≤ 0.001	The closed-loop city. Planetary metabolism.
OM-5	0 < OMR ≤ 0.0001	Clean orbit. Planetary-orbital zero-waste civilization.
OM-6	OMR = 0	Cosmic circular civilization.

OM-0 · The Abandonment Civilization

OMR > 0.5. More than half of transformed matter is orphaned. The signature is the open dump. Characteristics include uncontrolled landfill growth, unmanaged plastic and textile flows, toxic e-waste exported to poorer regions, weak regulation, no orbital debris discipline, and dominant plantation logic. This civilization believes in "away." But "away" is not a place. It is a moral illusion.

OM-1 · The Containment Civilization

$0.1 < \text{OMR} \leq 0.5$. Waste is better contained, but volumes remain large. The signature is the engineered landfill. Characteristics include formal collection, hazardous-waste rules, wastewater treatment, partial recycling, pollution monitoring, and limited producer responsibility. This civilization has discovered that waste is dangerous. It has not yet discovered that waste is a design failure.

OM-2 · The Recycling Civilization

$0.01 < \text{OMR} \leq 0.1$. A meaningful share of material value is recovered. The signature is the recycling facility. Characteristics include large-scale recycling, composting, e-waste recovery, repair culture, waste-to-energy, early circular-economy regulation, and emerging satellite deorbit standards. This civilization understands waste as misplaced matter, but still produces objects that are too toxic, too mixed, or too cheap to recover at scale.

OM-3 · The Circular-Design Civilization

$0.001 < \text{OMR} \leq 0.01$. Objects are designed not to become waste. The signature is the material passport. Characteristics include products designed for disassembly, universal right to repair, modular electronics, textile-fiber recovery, reusable packaging, buildings as material banks, industrial symbiosis, restriction of toxic composites, elimination of planned obsolescence, full producer responsibility, and satellites engineered for safe deorbit, repair, or retrieval. This is the first genuinely post-plantation stage. Responsibility now follows the object from creation through transformation.

OM-4 · The Planetary Metabolism Civilization

$0.0001 < \text{OMR} \leq 0.001$. The planetary economy operates as a controlled metabolism. The signature is the closed-loop city. Characteristics include near-zero landfill, near-zero ocean leakage, full e-waste and textile recovery, regenerative agriculture, circular construction, carbon managed as a material flow, closed water systems, recovered waste heat, isolated or neutralized toxic residues,

and active removal of legacy waste. The civilization no longer behaves as a machine standing outside nature; it behaves as a conscious organ within the planet.

OM-5 · The Planetary-Orbital Zero-Waste Civilization

$0 < \text{OMR} \leq 0.0001$. Waste is controlled both on the planet and around it. The signature is clean orbit. Characteristics include no uncontrolled orbital debris, satellites designed for reuse and retrieval, mission-level material return plans, closed-loop space stations, accounted-for launch residues, recovered abandoned infrastructure, and no sacrifice zones, terrestrial or orbital. This is the first civilization that has earned the term "spacefaring" in its mature sense. It does not merely reach space. It behaves responsibly there.

OM-6 · The Cosmic Circular Civilization

$\text{OMR} = 0$. The asymptotic ideal. No unmanaged waste anywhere a civilization has reached: not on its home planet, not in orbit, not on moons, not on planets, not on asteroids. Every artificial object has a designed pathway of reincorporation. $\text{OMR} = 0$ is not a thermodynamic prediction; the second law forbids perfect material reversal. It is the regulative ideal toward which a mature civilization moves, the limit that disciplines its design even when it cannot be reached. The civilization has become metabolic at cosmic scale. Its greatness is not measured by how much it conquers, but by how completely it returns.

8 The Two-Axis Framework: Power × Return

The most important insight of this framework is that energy capacity and return discipline must be measured together. Earlier formulations of this framework attempted to collapse the two into a single Civilizational Maturity Score, for example the product of K and $(1 - \text{OMR})$. This collapse is mathematically tempting but conceptually weak. A civilization with $K = 0$ would score zero regardless of how disciplined its material return is, which contradicts the framework's own claim that elegant low-power societies have civilizational value.

Civilizational maturity is irreducibly two-dimensional. The honest representation is the matrix:

Type	Energy (K)	Orphan Matter	Civilizational meaning
Low K · High OMR	Low	High	Fragile and dirty
High K · High OMR	High	High	**Powerful but dangerous**

Type	Energy (K)	Orphan Matter	Civilizational meaning
Low K · Low OMR	Low	Low	Elegant but limited
High K · Low OMR	High	Low	**Mature cosmic civilization**

Four ideal types emerge from this matrix. Three are stable: the fragile-and-dirty civilization (low on both axes), the elegant-but-limited civilization (low energy, low waste), and the mature cosmic civilization (high on both). The fourth is unstable and dangerous: high energy, high orphan matter.

The most dangerous civilization is not a primitive one. It is a powerful civilization that has not learned return.

A high-Kardashev, high-OMR civilization is an expansionary waste machine. Its ascent on the energy axis multiplies, rather than resolves, its disorder on the matter axis. It can carry plantation logic into orbit. It can turn the Moon into a quarry. It can turn Mars into an industrial sacrifice zone. It can turn asteroids into colonial extraction fields. The framework's argument is not anti-technology. It is anti-abandonment.

9 Cosmic Implications and Detection

Kardashev-style thinking has a long association with detection: advanced civilizations may reveal themselves through energy signatures. The OMS suggests a parallel (and partly inverse) possibility. Civilizations may also be classified by their waste signatures.

If the OMS framework has empirical purchase, then less mature civilizations would be expected to leave more visible residue. Atmospheric pollution profiles, artificial chemical accumulation in surface and ocean systems, planetary scarring, ocean contamination, orbital debris clouds, uncontrolled industrial heat, abandoned megastructures, and radioactive traces could all serve as detectable markers, not of presence as such, but of plantation-stage civilization.

More mature civilizations, on this account, would be harder to detect through residue. Their signatures would be quieter, their orbits cleaner, their atmospheric profiles closer to background. This leads to a provocative (and explicitly speculative) possibility:

The most advanced civilizations may not be the loudest. They may be the cleanest.

The absence of detectable waste may itself be a signal of civilizational maturity, not of civilizational absence. This proposition is not load-bearing for the rest of the framework, and it should be treated as a conjecture that the framework happens to generate, not as a result the framework establishes. But it is worth investigating.

10 Six Civilizational Laws

The framework can be summarized in six laws.

1. **There is no "away."** Every act of disposal is relocation. The dump, the gyre, the orbit are addresses, not destinations.
2. **Waste is extraction made visible.** Garbage reveals what extraction refuses to repair. Orphan matter is the material signature of plantation logic.
3. **Recycling is a stage, not an endpoint.** The highest discipline is not better recovery but design that prevents orphan matter from arising.
4. **Space is not exempt.** A civilization that pollutes orbit is repeating the landfill at altitude. Distance does not dissolve responsibility.
5. **Power without return amplifies abandonment.** A high-energy civilization without waste discipline becomes an expansionary extraction machine. Capability without discipline scales harm.
6. **Maturity is metabolic.** The mature civilization does not merely produce. It digests, repairs, reincorporates, regenerates. Civilizational maturity equals metabolism at scale.

11 Strategic Implications

The OMS implies a reorganization of civilizational development around material accountability. Ten requirements follow:

1. **Material passports.** Every product carries a traceable material identity from creation through end of life.
2. **Universal return pathways.** No object enters the market without a designed afterlife.

3. **Right to repair.** Repair becomes a civilizational norm, not a niche preference.
4. **Producer responsibility.** Manufacturers remain accountable for the end-of-life behavior of what they put into circulation.
5. **Design for disassembly.** Objects are made to come apart intelligently. Composites that resist separation are restricted.
6. **Toxicity reduction.** Materials that cannot be safely recovered are phased out at the design stage, not the disposal stage.
7. **Urban mining.** Existing waste streams are treated as strategic material reserves.
8. **Orbital stewardship.** Space debris is treated as a civilizational failure, not an operational inconvenience. Mission planning includes material return.
9. **Anti-plantation economics.** No civilization can call itself circular if it exports waste to poorer territories, future generations, oceans, or orbit.
10. **Planetary material accounting.** Waste is measured with the same seriousness as energy, GDP, carbon, or military capacity. What is not measured cannot mature.

12 Limits of the Framework

The framework's strength depends on the honesty with which its limits are acknowledged. Five are worth naming explicitly.

Thermodynamic baseline

The second law of thermodynamics guarantees some material dispersal at small scales: heat dissipates, atoms scatter, entropy increases. The OMS is not concerned with the second law in absolute terms. It is concerned with managed-versus-unmanaged residue at scales that affect ecosystems, infrastructure, and orbital regimes. OMR = 0 is therefore an idealization, not a thermodynamic prediction. The meaningful question is how close a civilization can come, not whether the absolute limit is reachable.

Measurement

Total transformed matter (M_t) and orphan matter (M_o) are heuristic categories. They depend on accounting boundaries that are partly conventional. The framework offers a way of comparing civilizational stages, not a unit of metrology.

Weighting

Persistence, toxicity, recoverability, and spatial risk are themselves contested weights. Different civilizations (and different scientific traditions within a single civilization) would assign different values. The framework does not resolve these disagreements; it makes them legible.

Scope

Where does "civilization" end? Volcanic ash, cosmic dust caught in atmospheric chemistry, biological CO_2. These blur the boundary between natural cycling and civilizational output. The framework treats orphan matter as artifactual: matter shaped or mobilized by intentional civilizational activity, including extraction. The boundary remains soft.

Empirical baseline

We have one candidate civilization to study, observed at one stage of its development. The OMS is largely a theoretical apparatus. Its long-term value will depend on whether it generates predictions (about technological transitions, about detection signatures, about phase changes in material practice) that turn out to be tractable.

13 Open Scientific Questions

The framework is philosophical in form but it points toward a number of empirical and theoretical problems that remain unresolved. Their answers would test, refine, or transform what is proposed here. Seven are particularly worth investigating.

1. **The thermodynamic floor.** What is the lowest physically achievable OMR for a civilization at a given energy level? Is there a calculable entropic floor below which return discipline cannot push, and how does that floor scale with K?
2. **Informational entropy of waste.** Can the informational entropy of an orphan-matter inventory be formally quantified? A measure that captures how much a system has lost track of its own outputs would convert the OMS from heuristic to instrumented.
3. **Cross-category comparability.** Is there a defensible weighting protocol for comparing orphan matter across radically different categories: plastics, persistent organic pollutants, radioactive isotopes, orbital debris, atmospheric residues? The weighted formula assumes such weights exist; the protocol for setting them does not yet.
4. **Phase transitions between stages.** Are the order-of-magnitude thresholds in the OM-0 to OM-6 typology empirically borne out, or are they better understood as a continuum? What conditions trigger phase transitions between stages: regulatory, technological, energetic, demographic?

5. **Detection signatures.** Do high-OMR and low-OMR civilizations leave distinguishable cosmic signatures? Atmospheric chemistry, orbital debris distributions, and waste-heat profiles are candidates. Can the conjecture that mature civilizations are quieter than primitive ones be falsified?
6. **Reversibility.** Is movement up the OMS unidirectional, or can civilizations regress? Under what conditions does a civilization at OM-3 fall back to OM-1: economic crisis, political fragmentation, energy collapse, infrastructural decay?
7. **The architecture-of-separation problem.** Can a technologically advanced civilization actually unwind the architecture of separation, or can it only redistribute it geographically and temporally? This is the deepest version of the framework's central claim, and it has direct implications for the Fermi paradox.

These are not weaknesses of the framework. They are the research program the framework opens.

14 Conclusion: The Final Thesis

The Orphan Matter Scale proposes a new way to classify civilizations. Kardashev measures the power of civilization by energy. The OMS measures the maturity of civilization by return. The first asks how much a civilization can command. The second asks how much disorder it refuses to export.

These are not competing measures. They are complementary axes of a single, more honest picture. A civilization that produces enormous power but leaves behind poisoned rivers, plastic oceans, toxic dumps, disposable labor zones, e-waste graveyards, radioactive residues, and dead satellites is not fully advanced. It is extractive at scale. The threshold of genuine civilizational maturity is reached only when no matter is abandoned, no object is born without an afterlife, no territory is treated as a dump, no orbit becomes a landfill, and no future generation inherits the unprocessed residue of past ambition.

Civilization advances when matter stops becoming orphaned.

Or, in its strongest Kardashev-style form: the highest civilization is not the one that uses the most energy. It is the one that leaves no orphan matter behind.